

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2013-0624, Appeal of Public Service Company of New Hampshire, the court on November 6, 2013, issued the following order:

On September 25, 2013, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH) filed an appeal from administrative orders by petition or, alternatively, a petition for original jurisdiction.

To the extent that PSNH's appeal document requests appellate review pursuant to Rule 10, the appeal is declined because the Public Utilities Commission has not issued a final order or decision on the merits. See Rule 3 (defining "[a]ppeal from administrative agency by petition" as "[a]ppellate review of a party's grounds for asserting that an administrative agency's final order or decision on the merits is unlawful or unreasonable").

To the extent that PSNH's appeal document requests that this court exercise original jurisdiction to review the Public Utilities Commission's interlocutory orders, the petition is denied. See Rule 11.

The motion for summary disposition is, therefore, moot.

This order is without prejudice to the parties' ability to file a subsequent appeal following the Public Utilities Commission's issuance of a final order or decision on the merits.

Appeal declined; petition denied.

Dalianis, C.J., and Hicks, Conboy, and Lynn, JJ., concurred.

**Eileen Fox,
Clerk**

Distribution:

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, DE 11-250

Wilbur A. Glahn, III, Esquire

Barry Needleman, Esquire

Linda T. Landis, Esquire

Robert A. Bersak, Esquire

Douglas L. Patch, Esquire

William L. Chapman, Esquire

N. Jonathan Peress, Esquire

Theodore M. Lothstein, Esquire

Zachary M. Fabish, Esquire

Susan W. Chamberlin, Esquire

Sandi Hennequin, Esquire

James Dannis, Esquire

Attorney General

File